# Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-18 Filed 10/01/10 Page 1 of 12 $_{\odot}$ TEVEN J. IGNATZ

2	2007 correspondence talks about a review of
3	records entered into the CLEAN NCIC by the
4	Newtown Township Police Department.
5	Correct?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q. Okay. In the course of your
8	tenure in CLEAN, aside from the trip to
9	Newtown Township for the missing persons'
10	report, okay, were you aware there were any
11	other investigations being done in Newtown
12	about the accuracey of their records?
13	A. No, sir.
14	Q. Okay. And you left when?
15	MR. HENZES: September of
16	'07. He told you four times.
17	MR. PURICELLI: Do you
18	want to testify, Randy?
19	MR. HENZES: He told you
20	four times.
21	MR. PURICELLI: I have
22	reasons for my questions.
23	THE WITNESS: I left in
24	September of '07.
25	

## Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-18 Filed 10/01/10 Page 2 of 12 STEVEN J. IGNATZ

- 2 BY MR. PURICELLI:
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. My mother got sick and I was
- 5 transferred back home.
- 6 Q. Okay. Did you report to anybody,
- 7 including Fultz, that Newtown Township lacked
- 8 jurisdiction to have made any entry on
- 9 missing children?
- 10 A. I don't remember reporting that
- 11 to anyone that wasn't aware of anything. I
- 12 think maybe that came up in discussion with
- 13 Lieutenant Hile.
- Q. When you were talking to him
- 15 last?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Who brought that --
- 18 A. Well, when I contacted him about
- 19 our findings in going down there May 17.
- Q. Who brought up the issue of
- 21 jurisdiction?
- 22 A. I think I just told him that
- 23 we're not there to look at jurisdictional
- 24 areas. We're there to solely look to see if
- 25 there's a report on file.

### Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-18 Filed 10/01/10 Page 3 of 12 STEVEN J. IGNATZ

- 2 Q. Fair enough.
- 3 In order for you to make
- 4 that kind of comment would it be fair to
- 5 conclude that he had to have asked?
- 6 A. He may have. I don't recall.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. I think that did come up, though.
- 9 Q. All right. So that in your
- 10 testimony in the arbitration you had
- 11 indicated that you made clear to Newtown
- 12 Township that you weren't interested in
- 13 whether the township had jurisdiction or not
- 14 to enter the -- only that they had a report.
- 15 Correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. So assuming Hile brought
- 18 it up would you have asked him why are you
- 19 asking that, whether they had jurisdiction or
- 20 not?
- 21 A. I don't remember that being asked
- 22 by Lieutenant Hile. I think I just told him
- 23 what I said earlier, that we're not there to
- 24 look at jurisdictional issues. We're there
- 25 solely for the CLEAN.

# Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-18 Filed 10/01/10 Page 4 of 12 STEVEN J. IGNATZ

- Q. Well, why would you talk about
- 3 something that was never a focus of your
- 4 investigation?
- 5 A. I think it might just be
- 6 something that most people would be concerned
- 7 with or think about.
- 8 Q. All right. If you were never
- 9 considering jurisdiction you wouldn't even be
- 10 looking for it.
- 11 Would you?
- 12 A. It was obvious, you know, they
- 13 lacked jurisdiction, but I wasn't there for
- 14 that reason.
- Q. Well, you remember going through
- 16 your testimony at the arbitration about the
- 17 Municipal Police Officers Jurisdiction Act?
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. Okay. Since that have you done
- 20 any research to find out whether or not, in
- 21 fact, they did or didn't have jurisdiction?
- 22 A. Well, I did at one time
- 23 afterwards look at the Municipal Police
- 24 Officers Jurisdiction Act and it's my belief
- 25 from reading that Act that a municipal police

## Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-18 Filed 10/01/10 Page 5 of 12 STEVEN J. IGNATZ

- 2 officer can effect an arrest for breeches of
- 3 the peace, felonies and misdemeanors if he's
- 4 out of his jurisdiction and on official
- 5 business, but things that are brought into
- 6 the jurisdiction don't apply to that Act.
- 7 Q. While you were doing your
- 8 research did you happen to look up Title 18
- 9 of the Crimes Code Section 2908, missing
- 10 children?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 O. Wouldn't that be a statute that
- 13 you would be familiar with in CLEAN?
- 14 A. Probably not, sir.
- 15 Q. Well, doesn't that statute
- 16 specifically discuss CLEAN?
- 17 A. I believe it does.
- 18 Q. Okay. Doesn't it specifically
- 19 require any law enforcement officer to make
- 20 an entry in CLEAN of a missing child?
- 21 A. I believe it does.
- 22 Q. Okay. Now, if the legislator
- 23 says any police officer can enter a missing
- 24 child in CLEAN and, in fact, uses the word
- 25 shall -- if you want to read the statute

### Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM\_Document 53-18 Filed 10/01/10 Page 6 of 12

- 2 you're free to.
- 3 A. That's okay.
- 4 Q. I have it in front of me.
- 5 Wouldn't any police
- 6 officer in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
- 7 have jurisdiction to enter a missing child in
- 8 CLEAN?
- 9 A. I believe so.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. If the child is missing.
- 12 Q. Now, if the legislator gave any
- 13 police officer jurisdiction to enter a
- 14 missing child in CLEAN, how do you conclude
- 15 Newtown Township Police, Commonwealth agency,
- 16 law enforcement agency didn't have
- 17 jurisdiction to enter the missing children in
- 18 CLEAN?
- 19 A. I believe they can enter children
- 20 in CLEAN, but they can't investigate it.
- 21 Q. Okay. And did you come across in
- 22 your investigation --
- 23 A. For missing children. I'm sorry.
- Q. No. Not a problem.
- Okay did you come across

#### Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM\_Document\_53-18 Filed 10/01/10 Page 7 of 12

- 2 any investigation report by the Newtown
- 3 Police Department on missing children?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. All you had was a form showing a
- 6 lawful entry under the law of 2908 of an
- 7 entry of missing children.
- 8 Correct?
- 9 A. Well, I don't know the
- 10 circumstances of the children; if they were
- 11 actually missing.
- 12 Q. Did you bother to check?
- 13 A. No. I was relying on information
- 14 provided to me.
- 15 Q. From Fultz.
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And was that oral or written?
- 18 A. Oral.
- 19 Q. Okay. Did you look to see if his
- 20 information was reliable?
- 21 A. And, actually, that was in his
- 22 report, also. His CLEAN investigation
- 23 report.
- Q. His report was done after the
- 25 investigation.

### Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-18 Filed 10/01/10 Page 8 of 12

- 2 A. After the fact. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Uh-huh.
- 4 Isn't it common practice
- 5 to keep a running report?
- 6 A. I'm not sure what you mean by
- 7 running report.
- 8 Q. Let's say, for example, today you
- 9 received a complaint of missing children, for
- 10 example. You would say today I received a
- 11 complaint about missing children.
- 12 Correct?
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. Okay. And you would start your
- 15 report.
- 16 Correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And let's say two months
- 19 from now you finally went out and looked for
- 20 the missing children. You would then two
- 21 months from now make an entry in that report
- 22 you already started.
- 23 Correct?
- A. That's how I would do it, sir.
- Q. Okay. So let's assume two months

#### Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM\_Document 53-18 Filed 10/01/10 Page 9 of 12

- 2 after you received the information, well,
- 3 possible location where these maybe missing
- 4 children would be, you decide to contact the
- 5 police department, say, for example
- 6 Albuquerque, New Mexico. So you're four
- 7 months into the investigation. You would
- 8 make an entry then.
- 9 Wouldn't you?
- 10 A. I probably would.
- 11 Q. Okay. And is that the way the
- 12 State Police taught you to do report writing?
- 13 A. I believe so.
- 14 Q. Okay. Is there anything you can
- 15 tell me that the State Police taught you not
- 16 to do it that way?
- 17 A. I think it's good practice to
- 18 document things as they come up so you have
- 19 access to it.
- 20 Q. So you remember exactly who said
- 21 what.
- 22 Right?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You're not relying necessarily
- 25 completely on your memory.

### Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-18 Filed 10/01/10 Page 10 of 12

2 Correct? . 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 Q. And we can agree that police 5 officers' memories are just as fallible as 6 others in certain areas? 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 Q. Okay. Now, Fultz's report wasn't 9 started when he received the assignment. 10 Was it? 11 Α. I don't know that. 12 You don't know. Q. 13 Well, you were the 14 supervisor. 15 Did you check? 16 Α. I wasn't there when he started 17 the assignment. 18 Q. How do you know that his report 19 that he submitted to you wasn't typed after 20 your visit to Newtown Township? 21 It may well have been, sir. 22 Q. When I say "typed," I mean in its 23 totality. 24 Α. It may have been. 25 So he could have, isn't it true, Q.

## Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-18 Filed 10/01/10 Page 11 of 12 $_{\rm STEVEN~J.~IGNATZ}$

- 2 excluded information he wanted to exclude in
- 3 that report?
- 4 A. If he chose to, I suppose so.
- 5 Q. Okay. And you as the supervisor
- 6 having gone through supervisory schooling
- 7 knew you had liability based on what he did
- 8 or didn't do.
- 9 Isn't that true?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Okay. So why not didn't you
- 12 ensure that Trooper Fultz's report was
- 13 thorough, accurate and done in accordance
- 14 with the policies and the practices of the
- 15 Pennsylvania State Police?
- 16 A. From my review it was done
- 17 thoroughly and accurately and in accordance
- 18 with those policies.
- 19 Q. Well, we can agree that you
- 20 didn't know when he started that report
- 21 writing.
- 22 Correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. And we can agree that you don't
- 25 even know if there's proper form that even

2	permitted him to even start his
3	investigation.
4	Isn't that correct?
5	A. No. I don't I don't think
6	that is correct. He received
7	Q. Before he came to you not to
8	keep repeating ourself you saw no
9	document.
10	Correct?
11	A. That's correct.
12	MR. HENZES: Objection.
13	Asked and answered. You gave me the
14	objection.
15	Can we ask something
16	that's beyond you disagreeing with his
17	answers?
18	MR. PURICELLI: Last time
19	I checked intentional and reckless
20	conduct was a necessary element I had to
21	prove.
22	MR. HENZES: That's
23	assuming he did anything to your client,
24	but that's another story.
25	Go ahead.